

SECRET

ME MORANDUM FOR RECORD

16 April 1984

SUBJECT: VISIT BY CG, INSCOM 13 Apr 84.

SG1J

1. (S/CL3/NOFORN) On 13 April 1984, from 1630 to 1845, MG Stubblebine, Commanding General (CG), Intelligence and Security Command (INSCOM), visited this office. LTC Buzby, CPT Ray and [redacted] were the principal CENTER LANE participants in the briefing/visit.

2.(U) The CG was briefed from a chart, a copy of which is attached as enclosure 1, on finances and personnel requirements and training projections for FY 84,85 and 86. The CG expressed an interest in reducing the time required for training, possibly through the use of NLP. He also seemed satisfied with the future growth of the project.

SG1J

3. (S/CL4/NOFORN) The CG solicited the opinion of project personnel on the use of Ingo Swann as a subject for modeling the NLP process discussed in paragraph 2 above. Project personnel thought it would be a bad idea because of the OPSEC Problem due to Swann's notoriety. It was also felt that Swann would be reluctant to participate in such an endeavor. The CG agreed with this logic. [redacted] provided a possible solution which was to involve CW3 John Nolan in the modeling program. The CG stated he would consider this option.

4.(S/CL4/NOFORN) The CG was given a tour of the administration building and the operations building. He met and spoke with all current CENTER LANE personnel. He spent approximately 10 minutes in a one-to-one session with CPT McNear behind closed doors. The CG was also briefed in depth on a current on-going hi-level, project (Buckley). On this project we are exploring new ways and technologies in dealing with the "search problem."

WARNING NOTICE: CENTER LANE SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM
RESTRICT DISSEMINATION TO THOSE WITH VERIFIED ACCESS
TO CATEGORY FOUR (4)

SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

CLASSIFIED BY: CDR, INSCOM
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

SECRET

SECRET

SG1J

SG1J

5. (S/CL4/NOFORN) LTC Buzby addressed three areas to the CG. The first area was a retirement award for CW2 McMoneagle. The second area was on the possibility of reading wives on to the project and the third area concerned getting a Letter of Appreciation for [redacted] which had come directly to this office endorsed down through Command channels. The CG said he would look at the first two and directed LTC Buzby to forward the letter on [redacted] to him.

6. (S/CL3/NOFORN). The CG discussed the cancellation of RAPT training at Monroe Institute and his meeting with the Director of the Army Staff (DAS) LTG Brown. The CG stressed that although RAPT had been cancelled the DAS had not objected to the use of Monroe Institute for training on a small scale. MG Stubblebine directed the preparation of an MFR on that point.

7.(U) The CG discussed his upcoming meeting with the Vice-Chief of Staff of the Army on 4 May 1984. He directed this office to prepare a 15 minute briefing to be presented to the Vice concerning the on-going operation mentioned in paragraph 4. It was suggested to the CG that the person to brief the Vice should be the customer for whom we are doing the project. The CG concurred with the recommendation and directed the project manager to keep him informed.

Legitimization

8. (U) A concept brought up/originally by LTC Buzby and broached later by the CG was the legitimatization of the project. The CG was informed that a charter had been developed and was being forwarded through command channels for his signature

9. (S/CL3/NOFORN) While the CG was being briefed his ADC ,CPT Mark Boyer, was read on for CENTER LANE 3 Access.

Brian Buzby
BRIAN BUZBY
LTC, MI
Project Manager

SECRET

PROJECTED EXPENDITURES

F Y 84 - \$280K	F Y 85 - \$570K	F Y 86 - \$700K
TNG (S1-4)x2 (S4-6)x2 (Dawn)	\$115K { TNG (S1-4)x2 (S5-6)x2 (DS)x2 DEV: Search-ELF/CM 50K	\$175K \$200K { TNG (S5-6)x2 (S7-8)x2 (DS)x2 (Search)x2 DEV: Search-ELF/CM 50K
TRAVEL: 55K	TRAVEL: 200K	TRAVEL: 250K
CIV SAL: 50K	CIV SAL: 75K	CIV SAL: 100K
OVERHEAD: 20K	OVERHEAD: 20K	OVERHEAD: 25K

PERSONNEL PROJECTION
FY 86

M - Management
 IA - Interviewer/Analyst
 OS - Operational Source
 TS - Training Source

F Y 84	F Y 85	F Y 86
M - 3	M - 3	M - 3
IA - 2	IA - 2	IA - 3
OS - 1	OS - 5	OS - 6
TS - 5	TS - 1	TS ---